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1. Introduction

Role of the deliverable

This document is the minutes of meeting for the user requirement meeting.

The List of Technical Work Packages

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| UC Code | Requirements derived from |
| WP2 | Semantic Parsing and Generation of Documents and Documents Components |
| WP3 | Model to/from Knowledge Base (synchronization mechanism) |
| WP4 | Knowledge Base Design and Implementation |
| WP6 | Architecture, Integration and Evaluation |

Conventions

The requirements are prefixed by “REQ-UR-WPz-xxx”, and are written in a roman typeface, where “REQ” stands for “Requirement”, “UR” indicates “User Requirements”, “z” stands for the number of work package where the requirement is originated and “xxx” is the positive integer identifier of the requirement. You can add this id (xxx) which is unique entire ‘requirements’ repository, at the end of <https://github.com/ModelWriter/Requirements/issues/> to access the latest version of the requirement.

Structure of the document

This document is organized as follows:

Chapter 1 introduces the document.

Chapter 2 presents the attendees of the meeting

Chapter 3 provides the report of the meeting and the topics which are discussed at the meeting

Chapter 4 includes the list of user requirements which are suggested by partners and the decision of the meeting for them.

Chapter 5 concludes the document

Terms, abbreviations and definitions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Abbreviation | Definition |
| RDF | Resource Description Framework |
| WP | Work Package |
| UC | Use Case |
| UR | User Requirement |
| SR | Software Requirement |
| KUL | Katholieke Universiteit Leuven |

1. Attendees

The user requirements are suggested by all partners via GitHub which can be found at:

[https://github.com/ModelWriter/Requirements/labels/User Requirements Document (URD)](https://github.com/ModelWriter/Requirements/labels/User%20Requirements%20Document%20(URD))

These requirement are discussed at the user requirement review meeting in the second international workshop at SOGETI Company, Brussels, Belgium on the 30th of April 2015.

The minutes of the meeting is available online at:

<https://github.com/ModelWriter/WP5/tree/master/Meeting%20Minutes>

In this meeting the following partners are attended. A representative from UNIT, OBEO, MANTIS, HISBIM, AIRBUS, KUL1, KUL2, SOGETI, and HAVELSAN participated at the meeting.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Function** | **Company** | **Attendance** |
| Ferhat Erata [FE] | Project Leader | UNIT | Yes |
| Moharram Challenger [MC] | Secondary Contact | UNIT | Yes |
| Etienne Juliot [EJ] | French Consortium Coordinator | OBEO | Yes |
| Marwa Rostren [MRO] | Technical Contact | OBEO | Yes |
| Prof. Erhan Mengüsoğlu [EM] | Turkish Consortium Coordinator | MANTIS | No |
| Aydıng Can Polatkan | Technical Contact | MANTIS | Yes |
| Anne Monceaux [AM] | Technical Contact | AIRBUS | Yes |
| Samuel Cruz Lara [SL] | Secondary Contact | LORIA | No |
| Prof. Claire Gardent [SC] | Primary Contact | LORIA | No |
| Prof. Geylani Kardaş [GK] | Technical Contact and Consultant | KOCSISTEM | No |
| Mehmet Önat [MO] | Primary Contact Point | KOCSISTEM | No |
| Hale Gezgen [HG] | Secondary Contact Point | KOCSISTEM | No |
| Ersan Gürdoğan [EG] | Secondary Contact Point | HISBIM | Yes |
| Taşkın Kızıl [TK] | Primary Contact Point | HIBIM | No |
| Oğuz Yıldız [OY] | Technical Contact | HISBIM | No |
| Emil Khamitov [EK] | Technical Contact | UNIT | No |
| Prof. Hans Vangheluwe [HV] | Consultant | UNIT | Yes |
| Dr. Anna Hristoskova | Research & Innovation Manager | UAntwerpen | Yes |
| Prof. Monique Snoeck [MS] | KUL2 Leader | KUL2 | No |
| Dr. Estefanía Serral Asensio | KUL2 Technical contact | KUL2 | Yes |
| Prof. Sien Moens [SM] | Secondary Contact | KUL1 | Yes |
| Philippe Bureille [PB] | Primary Contact | SOGETI | Yes |
| Nicole Sohn [NS] | Secondary Contact | SOGETI | Yes |
| Yagup Macit [YM] | Technical Contact | Havelsan | No |
| Eray Tüzün [ET] | Technical Contact | Havelsan | Yes |
| Nuran Göksu [NG] | Primary Contact | Havelsan | No |
| Bernard Fontaine | Former Project Leader | Independent | Yes |

1. Meeting report

The following topics are discussed in the meeting:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **No** | **Topic** | **Comment** |
| 1 | Introduction | - The new partners: Sogeti and Havelsan  - The possible Belgian partners: Verhart and UAntwerp  - Bernard |
| 2 | A meeting session for reviewing the requirements | User Requirements     - view on Waffle <https://waffle.io/modelwriter/requirements?label=User%20Requirements%20Document%20(URD)>     - view on GitHub <https://github.com/ModelWriter/Requirements/labels/User%20Requirements%20Document%20%28URD%29>  Prioritization of the requirements |
| 3 | Discussing the agenda deliverables | - [M8] D1.5.1 Minutes of the User Requirements Review meeting  - [M8] D1.5.2 User Requirements Document (URD)  - [M8] D1.6.1 Minutes of the Software Requirements Review meeting  - [M9] D1.6.2 Software Requirements Document  - [M9] D4.1.1 Knowledge Base Design document  - [M9] D4.2.1 Interface Control Document (ICD)  - [M8] D5.2.1 Project Progress Report (first half year)  - [M9] D7.4.1 ModelWriter-ITEA website  - [M8] D7.2.1-1 Exploitation and Marketing Plan  - [M8] D2.3.1 Overview and comparison of existing generators  - [M8] D3.1.1 Review of model-to-model transformation approaches and technologies  - [M8] D3.2.1 M2M Transformation Framework architectural design document |

Also, the following actions are planned at the meeting:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **No** | **Item** | **Assigned to** |
| 1 | ModelWriter Workshop in EclipseCon in Toulouse on July 2015  <https://www.eclipsecon.org/france2015/> | EJ |
| 2 | Share the  PCA template as soon as possible | FE |

1. User Requirements

After a discussion on the proposed requirements, the following decision are taken. The result are shown in a list. The id of each requirement reflects the id which is used in the *“D.1.5.2 User Requirement Document (URD)”* document. In the following list each user requirement are is reported with its state (confirmed, not decided yet, and closed) and in case of confirmation, its type is determined (Mandatory, Desirable, Out of Scope, and Optional) to show its priority.

It is worth to note that these requirements can be updated for the second and/or third iteration/release of ModelWriter.

# Requirement No Requirement State Requirement Type

1) REQ-UR-15 Confirmed Desirable

2) REQ-UR-16 Not Decided Yet

3) REQ-UR-17 Not Decided Yet

4) REQ-UR-20 Confirmed Mandatory

5) REQ-UR-21 Closed

6) REQ-UR-22 Closed

7) REQ-UR-23 Confirmed Mandatory

8) REQ-UR-25 Confirmed Mandatory

9) REQ-UR-26 Closed

10) REQ-UR-27 Closed

11) REQ-UR-28 Confirmed Mandatory

12) REQ-UR-29 Confirmed Mandatory

13) REQ-UR-30 Confirmed Mandatory

14) REQ-UR-31 Confirmed Mandatory

15) REQ-UR-32 Not Decided Yet

16) REQ-UR-33 Confirmed Mandatory

17) REQ-UR-34 Closed

18) REQ-UR-35 Confirmed Optional

19) REQ-UR-36 Closed

20) REQ-UR-37 Confirmed Out of Scope

21) REQ-UR-38 Confirmed Mandatory

22) REQ-UR-39 Confirmed Mandatory

23) REQ-UR-40 Confirmed Mandatory

24) REQ-UR-41 Confirmed Mandatory

25) REQ-UR-42 Not Decided Yet

26) REQ-UR-45 Closed

27) REQ-UR-46 Closed

28) REQ-UR-47 Confirmed Mandatory

29) REQ-UR-48 Confirmed Mandatory

30) REQ-UR-49 Confirmed Mandatory

31) REQ-UR-50 Confirmed Desirable

32) REQ-UR-51 Confirmed Mandatory

33) REQ-UR-52 Confirmed Mandatory

34) REQ-UR-53 Confirmed Mandatory

1. Conclusion

In this minutes of meeting, the decisions of the meeting are reported for user requirements. This minutes includes the attendee list and the list of user requirements with their state in the project.
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